

## REMARKS

This Response is submitted in reply to the final Office Action dated March 9, 2007, and Advisory Action dated May 9, 2007. Claims 12-13, 24-25, 29-34 and 38-43 have been deleted in this response, without prejudice, and replaced with new claims 44-59. No new matter has been introduced as a result of the present amendments. Favorable reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Claims 12-13, 24-25, 29-33 and 38-39 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Hug et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,806,078, hereafter "Hug"). The Applicant traverses these rejections.

Independent claims 44 and 53, which are based on earlier claims 38 and 39, are distinguishable over Hug. The claims recite that the information processing apparatus and method of the present invention include a storage means for repeatedly storing application data in a plurality of different stored states *in a desktop environment*. Each of the different stored states includes at least one of a day and time at which the application data is stored *in the desktop environment*; and the each application data also corresponds to an application program. A control means locates, based on received time information, *a desktop environment* containing application data, And from *the desktop environment located*, the state of an application program can be reproduced *by selecting the application data in the desktop environment*. (see Applicant's Application, page 30-32, lines 6-12 and Fig. 8).


Conversely, Hug discloses a version management system for storing and retrieving changes to spreadsheets or word processing documents (i.e., application programs). In this system, an original version of each document and all alternative versions are stored in a delta format (i.e., storing only the difference from a prior version) within a common difference data file (see, Hug, Abstract). Each version of a document, including the delta version, can be checked-out of the library directory 38 by a user. Fig. 9 of Hug illustrates in more detail the check-out process of a document. In Fig. 9, a Version Open Dialogue box allows a user to perform a check-out procedure of a document from the library directory 38. The Version Open Dialogue box requires a user to provide the project, document and the version number/name for proper retrieval of the document (see Hug, col. 9, lines 35-45).

Thus, at best, Hug merely discloses the use of a dialogue box to retrieve an application program. Hug fails to disclose locating, based on received time information, *a stored desktop environment* containing application data, let alone reproducing the state of an application program *by selecting the application data in the desktop environment located*. Therefore, independent claims 44 and 53 are clearly distinguishable over Hug. Likewise, dependent claims 45-52 and 54-59 are also believed to be distinguishable over based on their dependency from independent claims 44 and 53.

In light of the above, the Applicant submits that all the claims are patentable over the prior art of record. Accordingly, the Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case. If any additional fees are due in connection with this application as a whole, the Commissioner is authorized to deduct such fees from deposit account no. 02-1818.

Respectfully submitted,

BY



Peter Zura  
Reg. No. 48,196  
Cust. No. 29175  
(312) 807-4208

Dated: June 11 2007